# **Rushmoor Borough Council**

Annual Audit Letter for the year ended 31 March 2016

October 2016

Ernst & Young LLP





#### Contents

Executive Summary	2
Purpose	
Responsibilities	
Financial Statement Audit	
Value for Money	. 14
Other Reporting Issues	. 17
Focused on your future	. 20

In April 2015 Public Sector Audit Appointments Ltd (PSAA) issued "Statement of responsibilities of auditors and audited bodies 2015-16'. It is available from the Chief Executive of each audited body and via the PSAA website (www.psaa.co.uk)

The Statement of responsibilities serves as the formal terms of engagement between appointed auditors and audited bodies. It summarises where the different responsibilities of auditors and audited bodies begin and end, and what is to be expected of the audited body in certain areas.

The 'Terms of Appointment from 1 April 2015' issued by PSAA sets out additional requirements that auditors must comply with, over and above those set out in the National Audit Office Code of Audit Practice (the Code) and statute, and covers matters of practice and procedure which are of a recurring nature.

This Annual Audit Letter is prepared in the context of the Statement of responsibilities. It is addressed to the Members of the audited body, and is prepared for their sole use. We, as appointed auditor, take no responsibility to any third party.

Our Complaints Procedure – If at any time you would like to discuss with us how our service to you could be improved, or if you are dissatisfied with the service you are receiving, you may take the issue up with your usual partner or director contact. If you prefer an alternative route, please contact Steve Varley, our Managing Partner, 1 More London Place, London SE1 2AF. We undertake to look into any complaint carefully and promptly and to do all we can to explain the position to you. Should you remain dissatisfied with any aspect of our service, you may of course take matters up with our professional institute. We can provide further information on how you may contact our professional institute.

# Executive Summary

# **Executive Summary**

We are required to issue an annual audit letter to Rushmoor Borough Council (the Council) following completion of our audit procedures for the year ended 31 March 2016.

Below are the results and conclusions on the significant areas of the audit process.

Area of Work	Conclusion
<ul><li>Opinion on the Council's:</li><li>Financial statements</li></ul>	Unqualified - the financial statements give a true and fair view of the financial position of the Council as at 31 March 2016 and of its expenditure and income for the year then ended
<ul> <li>Consistency of other information published with the financial statements</li> </ul>	Other information published with the financial statements was consistent with the Annual Accounts
Concluding on the Council's arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness	We concluded that you have put in place proper arrangements to secure value for money in your use of resources

Area of Work	Conclusion
Reports by exception:	
<ul> <li>Consistency of Governance Statement</li> </ul>	The Governance Statement was consistent with our understanding of the Council
<ul> <li>Public interest report</li> </ul>	We had no matters to report in the public interest.
<ul> <li>Written recommendations to the Council, which should be copied to the Secretary of State</li> </ul>	We had no matters to report
<ul> <li>Other actions taken in relation to our responsibilities under the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014</li> </ul>	We had no matters to report

Area of Work	Conclusion
Reporting to the National Audit Office (NAO) on our review of the Council's Whole of Government Accounts return (WGA).	The Council is below the specified audit threshold of £350 million. Therefore, we did not perform any audit procedures on the consolidation pack

As a result of the above we have also:

Area of Work	Conclusion
Issued a report to those charged with governance of the Council communicating significant findings resulting from our audit.	Our Audit Results Report was issued on 26 September 2016
Issued a certificate that we have completed the audit in accordance with the requirements of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 and the National Audit Office's 2015 Code of Audit Practice.	Our certificate was issued on 26 September 2016

In January 2017 we will also issue a report to those charged with governance of the Council summarising the certification work we have undertaken.

We would like to take this opportunity to thank the Council's staff for their assistance during the course of our work.

Andrew Brittain

Executive Director For and on behalf of Ernst & Young LLP



# Purpose

# The Purpose of this Letter

The purpose of this annual audit letter is to communicate to Members and external stakeholders, including members of the public, the key issues arising from our work, which we consider should be brought to the attention of the Council.

We have already reported the detailed findings from our audit work in our 2015/16 Audit Results Report to the 26 September 2016 Licensing and General Purposes Committee, representing those charged with governance. We do not repeat those detailed findings in this letter. The matters reported here are the most significant for the Council.

# Responsibilities

# Responsibilities

## Responsibilities of the Appointed Auditor

Our 2015/16 audit work has been undertaken in accordance with the Audit Plan that we issued in February 2016 and is conducted in accordance with the National Audit Office's 2015 Code of Audit Practice, International Standards on Auditing (UK and Ireland), and other guidance issued by the National Audit Office.

As auditors we are responsible for:

- Expressing an opinion:
  - ► On the 2015/16 financial statements; and
  - On the consistency of other information published with the financial statements.
- ▶ Forming a conclusion on the arrangements the Council has to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources.
- Reporting by exception:
  - ▶ If the annual governance statement is misleading or not consistent with our understanding of the Council;
  - Any significant matters that are in the public interest;
  - Any written recommendations to the Council, which should be copied to the Secretary of State; and
  - If we have discharged our duties and responsibilities as established by thy Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 and Code of Audit Practice.

Alongside our work on the financial statements, we also review and report to the National Audit Office (NAO) on you Whole of Government Accounts return. The Council is below the specified audit threshold of £350 million. Therefore, we did not perform any audit procedures on the return.

## Responsibilities of the Council

The Council is responsible for preparing and publishing its statement of accounts accompanied by an Annual Governance Statement. In the AGS, the Council reports publicly each year on how far it complies with its own code of governance, including how it has monitored and evaluated the effectiveness of its governance arrangements in year, and any changes planned in the coming period.

The Council is also responsible for putting in place proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources.

# Financial Statement Audit





IN LA MAN





# Financial Statement Audit

#### Key Issues

The Council's Statement of Accounts is an important tool for the Council to show how it has used public money and how it can demonstrate its financial management and financial health.

We audited the Council's Statement of Accounts in line with the National Audit Office's 2015 Code of Audit Practice, International Standards on Auditing (UK and Ireland), and other guidance issued by the National Audit Office and issued an unqualified audit report on 26 September 2016.

Our detailed findings were reported to the 26 September 2016 Licensing and General Purposes Committee.

The key issues identified as part of our audit were as follows:

Significant Risk	Conclusion
Management override of controls As identified in ISA (UK and Ireland) 240, management is in a unique position to perpetrate fraud because of its ability to manipulate accounting records directly or indirectly and prepare fraudulent financial statements by overriding controls that otherwise appear to be operating effectively. We identify and respond to this fraud risk on every audit engagement. For local authorities the potential for the incorrect classification of revenue spend as capital is a particular area where there is a risk of management override.	We obtained a full list of journals posted to the general ledger during the year, and analysed these journals using criteria we set to identify any unusual journal types or amounts. We then tested a sample of journals that met our criteria and tested these to supporting documentation.
	Our review of accounting estimates has found that estimates are reasonable, and there was no indication of bias in the calculation of the estimates.
	We have not identified any material weaknesses in controls or evidence of material management override.
	We have not identified any instances of inappropriate judgements being applied.
	We did not identify any other transactions during our audit which appeared unusual or outside the Council's normal course of business.

IPSAS 16 and IFRS 13 – Fair Value Measurement	We reviewed the Council's assessment of the impact of IPSAS 16 and IFRS 13 on
Changes to IPSAS 16 and IFRS 13 with respect to	Surplus Assets and/or Investment Properties and noted no issues
Investment Properties and Fair Value valuation	We tested a sample of assets classified as Investment Properties and agreed with the

respectively came into effect for the financial year15-16.

The new IPSAS 16 standard could have changed the classification of an Investment Property if the property was used to facilitate the delivery of services or production of goods as well as to earn rental income or capital appreciation or both.

The Council had approximately £26 m of Investment Property as at 31/03/15 and so any re-assessment to comply with IPSAS 16 or IFRS 13 could have potentially resulted in a material re-classification between Investment Properties and Other Land and Buildings.

classification.

We completed an assessment of the property valuation expert commissioned to undertake the valuation. This did not identify any issues.

#### Other Key Findings

#### Conclusion

#### Debtors Existence

As part of our testing of Debtors we identified an error in our existence testing of Debtors. We were unable to obtain supporting evidence for one of our samples selected. This was an old historical debtor from 1999/2000 which had not been provided against. It is good practice to regularly review old historical balances in relation to debtors and to ascertain the likelihood of recovering such money. We reported this is an uncorrected error within our Audit Results Report as an extrapolated error.

We have recommended that a process of review is conducted to ensure that historical debts are supported by adequate documentation and suitably reviewed for recoverability. Where documentation is not available to support the existence of the Debtor then the balance should be considered for write off and suitably approved. Key officers have accepted the recommendation and are currently undertaking a review of historical debtors to assess the impact and to take the appropriate action. The outcome of this review will be reported by management to Those Charged with Governance in due course.

# Value for Money

# Value for Money

We are required to consider whether the Council has put in place 'proper arrangements' to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness on its use of resources. This is known as our value for money conclusion.

Proper arrangements are defined by statutory guidance issued by the National Audit Office. They comprise your arrangements to:

- Take informed decisions;
- Deploy resources in a sustainable manner; and
- Work with partners and other third parties.



We issued an unqualified value for money conclusion on 26 September 2016.

Our audit did not identify any significant matters in relation to the Council.

# Other Reporting Issues

# Other Reporting Issues

## Whole of Government Accounts

The Council is below the specified audit threshold of £350 million. Therefore, we did not perform any audit procedures on the consolidation pack.

# Annual Governance Statement

We are required to consider the completeness of disclosures in the Council's annual governance statement, identify any inconsistencies with the other information of which we are aware from our work, and consider whether it is misleading.

We completed this work and did not identify any areas of concern.

# Report in the Public Interest

We have a duty under the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 to consider whether, in the public interest, to report on any matter that comes to our attention in the course of the audit in order for it to be considered by the Council or brought to the attention of the public.

We did not identify any issues which required us to issue a report in the public interest.

## Written Recommendations

We have a duty under the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 to designate any audit recommendation as one that requires the Council to consider it at a public meeting and to decide what action to take in response.

We did not identify any issues which required us to issue a written recommendation.

# **Objections Received**

We did not receive any objections to the 2015/16 financial statements from member of the public.

# Other Powers and Duties

We identified no issues during our audit that required us to use our additional powers under the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014.

### Independence

We communicated our assessment of independence in our Audit Results Report to the Licensing and General Purposes Committee on 26 September 2016. In our professional judgement the firm is independent and the objectivity of the audit engagement partner and audit staff has not been compromised within the meaning regulatory and professional requirements.

# Control Themes and Observations

We have adopted a fully substantive approach and have therefore not tested the operation of controls.

# Focused on your future

# Focused on your future

Area	Issue	Impact	
Faster close	From the 2017/18 financial year, the deadline for preparing the Council's financial statements will move to 31 May from 30 June. In addition, the deadline for completing the statutory audit will move to 31 July from 30 September.	The faster closedown timetable requires the Council to adjust its timetable for preparing the accounts, as well as the budget setting process and the timing of committee meetings.	
		It requires upfront planning to identify areas of the accounts that can be prepared earlier, before the 31 March, and there will be a need to establish robust basis for estimations across a wider number of entries in the financial statements.	
		For the 2016/17 audit, we are working with officers to bring our work forward to support the transition ahead of the new deadlines in 2017/18.	
auditors audit. The mandator After this opt in to arrangem In July 20 Governm (PSAA) a (Appointi PSAA wil	The current audit contracts expire on the completion of the 2017/18 audit. The expiry of contracts also marks the end of the current mandatory regime for auditor appointments.	Appointment of auditors for the 2018/19 financial year is required by 31 December 2017. The council should consider whether they intend to opt	
	After this, the Council can exercise choice about whether it decides to opt in to the authorised national scheme, or whether to make other arrangements to appoint its own auditors.	into the appointed person scheme to appoint your auditors from 2018/19 or if the council should make its own arrangements following the legislative	
	In July 2016, the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government specified Public Sector Audit Appointments limited (PSAA) as an appointing person under regulation 3 of the Local Audit (Appointing Person) Regulations 2015.	requirements.	
	PSAA will be able to appoint an auditor to relevant authorities that choose to opt into its national collective scheme.		

### EY | Assurance | Tax | Transactions | Advisory

#### Ernst & Young LLP

© Ernst & Young LLP. Published in the UK. All Rights Reserved.

ED None

The UK firm Ernst & Young LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales with registered number OC300001 and is a member firm of Ernst & Young Global Limited.

Ernst & Young LLP, 1 More London Place, London, SE1 2AF.

ey.com